

REMARKS

Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of this application in view of the foregoing amendments and following remarks.

Claim Status

Claims 2-8, 10-13, 15 and 17 are pending and stand rejected. By this paper, claims 2-4, 6, 7, 8, 10, 15 and 17 are amended. Support for this amendment is found throughout the specification, for example, at page 8, lines 16-22 and Figure 1A. No new matter has been introduced.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 112 and Objections to the Specification and Drawings

The specification and drawings were objected to for failing to provide an antecedent basis for or to show the feature “wherein a hole formed in the rear surface” as recited in the claims. Claims 2-8, 10-13, 15 and 17 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement as the Examiner alleged that the phrase “wherein a hole is formed in the rear surface” was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the art that the inventor had possession of the claimed invention.

Applicant has herein amended independent claims 2, 15 and 17, and dependent claims 3, 4, 6, 7, 8 and 10, to clarify the claims and to overcome and obviate these rejections and objections. The claims presented herein are believed to be patentable for at least the following reasons.

Independent claim 2 has been amended such that the feature “wherein a hole is formed in the rear surface” now reads “wherein the rear surface is defined by a cut-out portion” and the feature “a cooling mechanism, located in the hole” now reads “a cooling mechanism,

accommodated by the cut-out portion.” Claims 15 and 17 have been amended to recite features similar to those now found in amended claim 2. Accordingly, Applicant requests reconsideration of the claims as amended and respectfully submits that the rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112 and objections to the specification and drawings be withdrawn as having been overcome or otherwise rendered moot.

Dependent Claims

Applicant has not independently addressed the rejections of the dependent claims because Applicant submits that, as the independent claims 2, 15 and 17 from which the dependent claims depend are allowable for at least those reasons discussed supra, the dependent claims are allowable for at least similar reasons. Applicant however, reserves the right to address such rejections should such be necessary.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, Applicant respectfully submits that all claims as presented herein are in condition for allowance which action is respectfully requested.

Applicant believes no fees are required for this Amendment. If, however, an extension of time is necessary to render this filing timely, such is hereby petitioned and the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required for this paper, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 13-4500, Order No. 1232-5283.


In the event that a telephone conference would facilitate prosecution, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the number provided.

An early and favorable examination on the merits is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,
MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P.

Dated: September 18, 2006

By:



Matthew K. Blackburn
Registration No. 47,428

Correspondence Address:

MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P.
3 World Financial Center
New York, NY 10281-2101
(212) 415-8700 Telephone
(212) 415-8701 Facsimile